	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	08/274,942		HAGMANN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Mathieu D.	Vargot	1732	
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>				
(1) Mathieu D. Vargot.	(3)	·		
(2) <u>Mr. Zhou</u> .	(4)	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>15 April 2004</u> Time:				
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:  112's and vague language in independent claims 1, 40 and 79; claims 2 and 65 were cancelled, with claim 2 incorporated into claim 1 and a similar recitation added to claims 40 and 79; claim 39 language was corrected.				
Claims discussed: 1, 39, 40, 65 and 79				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See above.				
Part III.				
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's	Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)	